

Cleveland, OH 44114-2518

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/075,053	02/13/2002	Robert C. Stevens	RST 2 0011-3	8092
7:	590 06/04/2004		EXAM	INER
Michael E. Hudzinski			SIRMONS, KEVIN C	
FAY, SHARPE, FAGAN, MINNICH & McKEE, LLP 7th Floor		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
1100 Superior Avenue		3763		

DATE MAILED: 06/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/075,053	STEVENS, ROBERT C.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Kevin C. Sirmons	3763			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tilt of within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	arch 2004.				
,	/ 				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	.53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) 12-23 and 29-40 is/a					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11 and 24-28</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	e Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ved in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea		:			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receiv	/ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [5) Notice of Informal	Date Patent Application (PTO-152)			
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/13/02</u>. 	6) Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Claims 12-23 and 29-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected Group and Species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse on 3/24/04.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: a first end, a second end; a first flexible outer coating; a second flexible outer coating; a first portion; a first transition area and a second portion. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance. Note: the same objections apply to the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2, 6-11, 24-25, 17 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Stevens U.S. Pat. No. 5,972,143.

Art Unit: 3763

Stevens discloses a reinforced catheter comprising: a elongate flexible tubular member defining a lumen of the catheter, the tubular member having a first end defining a proximal end of the catheter and a second end defining a distal end of the catheter (40); a continuous coil reinforcement member carried on the elongate flexible tubular member and extending between the proximal end of the catheter and the distal end of the catheter (42); a first flexible outer coating covering the coil reinforcement member and the tubular member substantially entirely between the proximal end of the catheter and the distal end of the catheter (44); a second flexible outer coating covering a first portion of the first outer coating between the first transition area of the catheter and said proximal end of the catheter, a second portion of the first outer coating being uncovered by said second outer coating (product-by-process) and defining a flexible distal tip of said catheter, said first coating being softer than said second coating (col. 7); as to claim 2, (col. 7 and 8); as to claim 6, (fig. 4); as to claims 7, 8, (42); as to claims 9-11, (figs. 4a-4f); as to claims 24-25, 27 and 28 (see above rejections).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens.

Stevens discloses a reinforced catheter substantially as claimed except for the various harnesses of the first and second coatings.

Art Unit: 3763

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to vary the hardness of the same or various materials, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended uses as a matter of obvious design choice. In re Leshin, 125 USPQ 416. Furthermore, applicant has not disclosed that the aforementioned limitations provides an advantage, is used for a particular purpose and solves a stated problem. One of ordinary skill in the art, furthermore, would have expected Applicant's invention to perform equally well with the device as taught by Stevens.

Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens in view of Weber U.S. Pat. No. 5,147,315.

Stevens discloses a reinforced catheter substantially as claimed except for a maker band disposed adjacent the distal end of the catheter on the outer coating. Weber discloses a maker band disposed adjacent the distal end of the catheter on the outer coating (fig. 1). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Stevens with the marker band as taught by Weber for locating the distal portion of the catheter.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Stevens in view of Weber U.S. Pat. No. 5,147,315 and further in view of Adams et al U.S. Pat. No. 5,843,051.

Stevens in view of Weber disclose a reinforced catheter substantially as claimed except for wherein the marker band is formed of a one of gold material and platinum material.

Art Unit: 3763

Adams discloses a marker band is formed of a one of gold material and platinum material (41).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Stevens in view of Weber with the platinum band as taught by Adams for locating the distal portion of the catheter.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kevin C. Sirmons whose telephone number is (703) 306-5410. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday from 6:30 am to 4:00 pm. The examiner can also be reached on alternate Fridays.

Revin C. Surmons
Kevin C. Sirmons

Patent Examiner

5/31/04